

Deformation in mafic protoliths: Impacts from late faults on Ni-Cu-PGE Mineralization at Lac des Iles Mine, Canada

Jordan Peterzon, Noah Phillips, Pete Hollings, Lionnel Djon

Thank you to:

Dr. Noah Phillips

Dr. Pete Hollings

Dr. James Tolley

Dr. Tobias Stephan

Dr.Will Smith

Impala Canada Exploration Team

Lakehead Structural Geology Group

Jonas Valiunas and Kristi Tavener





Faults and Fault Structure

- Complex structures that can serve as permeable pathways through the upper crust
- Often hosted within anisotropic and discontinuous lithologies, where physical and chemical properties can change over short distances
- At shallow depths, pressure-dependent processes (brittle fracturing and cataclasis) control deformation
- Both high fluid pressure and the presence of clay minerals play a role in the weakening of fault zones



Typical Fault Zone Architecture

- I) Protolith host rock, typically (or ideally) unaltered
 - Least altered / fractured, country rock
- 2) Damage zone zone of high permeability and fluid flow, with increased fracture density
 - Fault breccia, abundant mode one fractures, increased alteration
- 3) Fault core(s) where the most slip was accommodated (high strain, low permeability)
 - Gouge, cataclasite, principal slip surface, highest amount of localized shear



(Yang et al., 2020)

I. How does late faulting and associated fluids affect PGE mineralization?

2. How does a fault zone structure vary with respect to the protolith?

- I. Structural study on faulting in mafic and felsic protoliths
- Are there variations in damage zone structure and what are the conditions of faulting?
- II. Geochemical patterns and alteration styles
- Understanding fault zones and fluid remobilization of PGE's



Lac des lles Mine

- We can answer these questions by using Lac des lles as a natural laboratory
- Location in the Marmion terrane of the Superior Province, 80 km north of Thunder Bay, ON
- The abundance of drilling and underground exposure provides an ideal study area to focus on faults in mafic protoliths







(Modified from Djon et al., 2018)

Was Pd present originally?

- In these systems, Ni and Pd often correlate and concentrate in pentlandite
- Increased alteration *should* increase Ni/Pd ratios (Ni immobile, Pd moderately mobile)
- If Pd was not originally present, these ratios would likely not change



Field Observations

- Camp Lake Fault is a reverse fault displaced over 500 meters typically with the gabbronorite in fault contact with tonalite (Vektore, 2020)
- Offset Fault is a reverse fault with 200-300 meters of displacement, with variable lithologies in hanging wall and footwall



Camp Lake Fault (239/59)

Offset Fault (249/50)

Core Logging – Observed Lithologies

- Detailed core logging observations show 3 distinct lithologies: tonalite, various gabbronorites, and chloriteactinolite schist
- Alteration intensity increases with proximity to faulting
- Chlorite, tremolite, actinolite, talc, and minor sericite in the gabbronorites
- Potassium feldspar, epidote and sericite in the tonalites



Petrography - Gabbronorite

- Primarily composed of plagioclase and pyroxene
- Strong chlorite, actinolite and talc alteration with proximity to faulting
- Fault core is dominated by chlorite (multiple varieties) and quartz



Proximity to faulting

Petrography - Tonalite

- Primarily composed of quartz, plagioclase, biotite, and pyroxene
- Variably foliated, display strong sericite and epidote alteration, and reduced in grain size when faulted
- Tonalite fault core is dominated by albite, quartz, epidote and sericite



Proximity to faulting

Fault Rock Structures

- The Camp Lake and Offset Faults are exposed parallel and normal to the fault slip surface
- Both have a discrete principal slip surface and well-defined fault core of 5-10cm
- Minor faulting is observable on the mm to cm scale, appearing to be consistent with the hypothesized kinematics



Fracture Density

- Systematic study conducted on damage zone to analyze fracture density with proximity to fault core
- 8 drill holes studied
- Density is averaged to fractures / meter



Distance from fault (m)

Fracture Density

- Plotted in log-log space to observe what type of trend is seen in the data
- To quantify the damage decay exponent, we fit a power law to the data as a function of distance from the fault (d = cr⁻ⁿ)







Fracture Density

- All drill holes, within error, display a larger damage decay exponent in tonalites than the gabbronorites
- Takeaway being that tonalites decay at a faster rate than the gabbronorites



(After Savage and Brodsky, 2011)

Fault Constant (c)

Geochemistry

- Downhole plots display that the greatest variation in major element oxides are observable in the damage zone (~180m)
- Following the expected trend as observed in fracture density data
- As expected, elements such as Na and K are mostly variable in tonalites



Alteration Geochemistry

- Alteration plots exhibit percentage change referenced to the most distal (least altered) sample
- Gabbronorites show positive concentration changes in SiO₂, TiO₂, MnO, MgO, and Fe₂O₃, and average losses in CaO, Na₂O, and Al₂O₃
- Tonalites exhibit relatively consistent SiO₂ and Na₂O, enriched K₂O, and negative Al₂O₃, TiO₂, CaO, MgO and Fe₂O₃



18

Chlorite Thermometry

- Host rock chlorite range from >350 $^{\circ}$ to 250 $^{\circ}$
- Fault core chlorite appears to form at 200 C°, with late meteoric chlorite at 100 C° to 200 C°





What does this mean?

- I. Late faulting and fluids likely remobilized Pd during faulting
- 2. Fault zone structure varies a great deal with respect to protolith
- 3. Chlorite precipitation in the fault core likely resulted in the impeded development of a wider damage zone in the gabbronorites









